IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

FLASHPOINT TECHNOLOGY, INC.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	8	C.A. No. 08-139-GMS
	§	
AIPTEK, INC., ARGUS CAMERA CO., LLC,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BUSHNELL INC., DXG TECHNOLOGY (U.S.A.)	§	
INC., DXG TECHNOLOGY CORP., GENERAL	§	
ELECTRIC CO., LEICA CAMERA AG, LEICA	§	
CAMERA INC., MINOX GMBH, MINOX USA, INC.,	§	
MUSTEK, INC. USA, MUSTEK, INC., OREGON	§	
SCIENTIFIC, INC., POLAROID CORP., RITZ	§	
INTERACTIVE, INC., RITZ CAMERA CENTERS,	§	
INC., SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC., D/B/A	§	
DIGITAL CONCEPTS, TABATA U.S.A., INC., D/B/A	§	
SEA & SEA, TARGET CORP., VISTAQUEST CORP.,	§	
VUPOINT SOLUTIONS, INC., WALGREEN CO., and	§	
WAL-MART STORES, INC.,	§	
, ,	§	
Defendants	§	
	§	
	9	

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO BUSHNELL, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("FlashPoint") hereby responds to each paragraph of Bushnell, Inc.'s ("Bushnell") Counterclaims as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Upon information and belief, admitted.
- 2. Admitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Admitted this action purports to be a Declaratory Judgment Action under 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, but otherwise denied.
 - 4. Admitted.
 - 5. Admitted.

COUNT I

- 6. FlashPoint incorporates the replies set forth in Paragraphs 1-5 above as if fully set forth herein.
 - 7. Admitted.
- 8. Admitted that Bushnell infringes one or more claims of the patents-in-suit, but otherwise denied.
 - 9. Admitted.
 - 10. Denied.
 - 11. Denied.
 - 12. Denied.
- 13. Admitted that Bushnell seeks a declaration that the patents-in-suit are invalid, void, and not infringed by Bushnell, but otherwise denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In addition to the relief requested in Plaintiff's Original Complaint, Plaintiff respectfully requests a judgment as follows against Bushnell as follows:

- A. That Bushnell takes nothing by its Counterclaims;
- B. That the Court award Plaintiff costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and
 - C. Any and all further relief for Plaintiff as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues.

Patrick J. Coughlin Michael J. Dowd Ray Arun Mandlekar COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER **RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP** 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 231-1058

John F. Ward John W. Olivo, Jr. David M. Hill Michael J. Zinna WARD & OLIVO 380 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 697-6262

Dated: May 20, 2008

/s/ Evan O. Williford

David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254) Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162) BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A. 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400 Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: (302) 573-3500 dmargules@bmf-law.com ewilliford@bmf-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Evan O. Williford, hereby certify that on May 20, 2008, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document – **Plaintiff's Reply to Bushnell Inc.'s Counterclaims** – with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following local counsel for defendants:

Richard K. Herrmann, Esquire Morris James LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801 Attorneys for Defendants Bushnell, Inc., and Tabata U.S.A., Inc. d/b/a Sea & Sea and Richard D. Kirk, Esquire
The Bayard Firm
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendant Sakar
International Inc. d/b/a Digital Concepts

Steven J. Balick, Esquire
Ashby & Geddes
500 Delaware Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19899
Attorneys for Defendant General Electric
Company

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire Anne Shea Gaza, Esquire Richards Layton & Finger One Rodney Square Wilmington, DE 19801 Attorneys for Defendants Leica Camera AG and Leica Camera, Inc. and Mustek, Inc. USA

Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire
David E. Moore, Esquire
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Hercules Plaza
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Attorneys for Defendants Wal-Mart Stores,
and Target Corp.

Candice Toll Aaron, Esquire Saul Ewing LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1200 Wilmington, DE 19801 Attorneys for Defendants Ritz Camera Centers, Inc. and Ritz Interactive, Inc.

Daniel V. Folt, Esquire Matthew Neiderman, Esquire Aimee M. Czachorowski, Esquire Duane Morris 1100 North Market Street, Suite 1200 Wilmington, DE 19801 Attorneys for Defendant Aiptek, Inc. Collins J. Seitz, Jr., Esquire Kevin F. Brady, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Attorneys for Defendants Polaroid Corporation Paul E. Crawford, Esquire Kevin F. Brady, Esquire

Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Attorneys for Defendant Oregon Scientific,

Inc.

Richard D. Kirk, Esquire

Bayard

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900

Wilmington, DE 19801

Attorneys for Defendant Sakar

International Inc. d/b/a Digital Concepts

and VuPoint Solutions. Inc.

Francis DiGiovanni, Esquire Chad S.C. Stover, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801 302-658-9141 Attorneys for Defendants DXG Technology [U.S.A.] Inc. and DXG Technology Corp.

I further certify that on May 20, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing document

to be served on the following defendants by First Class Mail:

Argus Camera Company LLC

1610 Colonial Parkway

Inverness, IL 60067

Walgreen Co. 200 Wilmot Road Deerfield, IL 60015

VistaQuest Corporation 6303 Owensmouth Avenue

10th Floor

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Minox USA Inc.

438 Willow Brook Road Plainfield, NH 03781

/s/ Evan O. Williford

David J. Margules (I.D. No. 2254)

Evan O. Williford (I.D. No. 4162)

BOUCHARD MARGULES & FRIEDLANDER, P.A.

222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1400

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: (302) 573-3500 dmargules@bmf-law.com

ewilliford@bmf-law.com

Attorneys for plaintiff Flashpoint Technology, Inc.